Caco 1:10 ov 01077 DVC DED Document 50 Filed 11/10/20 Dago 1 of 2 DagoID #: 420
Case 1:19-cv-01877-PKC-RER Document 58 Filed 11/10/20 Page 1 of 3 PageID #: 420
Sister E. Jones Bey
dyrics Playhouse Moorish Sudbury Model Institute
1020 Elde Avenne Filed
in the Clerk's Office
U.S. District Court,
Brand comme to bed colete of two oce
Nov 8th 2020
Brooklyn Office
Honorable Pamela to Cher
United States District Court of the Fastern District of New You
225 Cadman Plaza Fast
Brooklyn NewYork 11201
RE Bey 1. Antoine et Al
RE Bey 1. Antone et Al 19-CV-1877 (PKC) (RER)
TCla
Islam,
T
I am Sending this letter in response
to Amanda Rolon's letter dated October
30th 2020, I have adequate stending
at Law as to Why Officer Michael
Maneilla is at fault. In the Letter
ms Rolon Stated "I have communicated
with the Clark of Appellate Court and they
have informed me that plentiff's appeal for
her Conviction of Criminal mischief in the
forth degree ((riminal Court Number 2018 KNO24451)
bearing Apellale Term docklet Number 2019-
1007 K CR Was disconstant
607 K CR was dismissed in obly of 2019.
As I Stated I had no Idea on this

determination. I am Sending a request for a certificate for appeal from Chief Judge Dificie of the New York State Coast of Appeals. This letter, See subsequent pages will be sent to said Judge on the Date of 10th November 2020 and I will Submit proof of Delivery upon confirmation.

My response to Michell Mancilla's motion Submitted to this court gare adequate basis along with the facts presented in my initial complaint Officer Michael Mancilla, as the accreating officer dis liable.

"Where rights secured by the Constitution are involved, there can be no rule-making or legislation, which would Albrogate them."
Miranda V. Arizung 384 U.S. 436 (1966)

When a State Officer acts under a State Iaw in a Manner Violative of the Constitution, he comes into Conflict with the Barrar Superior authority of that Constitute and he is stripped of his official or ignorantative Character and is subjected in

his person to the consequences of his individual Conducto The State has no power to impact to him any immonity from responsibility to the supreme Authority of the United States 1 Schever V. Rhodos 416 U.S. 232 (1974) The lost of first Amendment freedoms, For even minimal periods of time, unguestional Constitutes irrepenble dampernjury! Elrod V. Burns 427 U.S. 347 (1976) " Orderatily, " in common usage, the term " Person' does not include the Somerregn, and Statutes employing the Iward one V. Omaha Tribe 442 U.S. 653 (1979 " Ignorance of the law does not excuse miscandid in anyone, least of all in a swir Officer of the law! In re McCowan (1917 Respectfully Jam Sistr F. Jones Beg Lyrics Playhase Moursh adhym (347) 485-1440